

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Agency: WA State Department of Agriculture	
Drawnan and Chatamant at Landau City Landau	
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR	; or 🗵 Original Notice
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).	Continuance of WSR
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subjection for all other identifying information)	t) The Department of Agriculture is responsible for administoring
letections for advisory votes, marketing order referenda, and board	member selection. The proposed rule will address the issue of how
the Department of Agriculture will respond and process unsigned by	pallot envelopes, which is part of the certification process to vote
· · · · · ·	process to vote.
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:
Washington State Department of Agriculture	Name: George Huffman
Natural Resources Building Second Floor, Conference Room 259	Address: WA State Department of Agriculture
1111 Washington Street SE	PO Box 42560
Olympia, WA 98504-2560	Olympia, WA 98504-2560
	e-mail: ghuffman@agr.wa.gov fax: (360) 902-2092 by March 24, 2005 – 5:00p m
Date: March 23, 2005 Time: 10:00a.m.	7 200 5114
·	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact
Date of intended adoption: April 1, 2005	Rochelle Painter at (360) 902-2060 by March 16, 2005.
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY: (360) <u>902-1996</u>
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including a	ny ohomoo in autotia and a military
not affect existing permanent rules. It proposes to make a service	try changes in existing rules: The proposed rule is new and does
not affect existing permanent rules. It proposes to make perman	lent the emergency rule adopted December 20, 2004. The
marketing order reference and hand marketing order reference and hand marketing order reference	conducting commodity commission elections for advisory votes,
marketing order referenda, and board member selection and spe	cifically address how the Department will handle unsigned ballot
envelopes submitted by voters.	,
envelopes submitted by voters.	
envelopes submitted by voters.	
envelopes submitted by voters.	
envelopes submitted by voters.	,
envelopes submitted by voters.	
envelopes submitted by voters.	·
envelopes submitted by voters.	
	,
Reasons supporting proposal:	,
	,
Reasons supporting proposal:	
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66
Reasons supporting proposal:	
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law?	
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Yes No	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law?	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Yes No Federal Court Decision?	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Yes No No State Court Decision? Yes No No	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Yes No No State Court Decision? Yes No No	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  J. J	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  NAME (type or print)	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  J. J	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  NAME (type or print)	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  FEB 1 6 2005
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  NAME (type or print) Valoria H. Loveland	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE NAME (type or print) Valoria H. Loveland SIGNATURE  Federal Court Decision?  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE PEVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  FIRE 1 6 2005
Reasons supporting proposal:  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.65.047 and RCW 15.66.055  Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  DATE  NAME (type or print) Valoria H. Loveland  SIGNATURE  Valoria A. Loveland	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.65 and RCW 15.66  CODE REVISER USE ONLY  CODE PEVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  FEB 1 6 2005

Procedural Rules for Administrative Function for Commodity Commissions Elections

## **NEW SECTION**

16-501-525 Unsigned ballot envelopes: advisory votes, referenda, and board member elections. The director of the department of agriculture is responsible for administering elections for advisory votes, marketing order referenda and board member selection as required in chapters 15.65 and 15.66 RCW.

- (1) The Department will mail ballots to those eligible to vote in each election according to the terms of the applicable statute and marketing order. Each voter will be provided an official ballot, instructions for voting, a security envelope and a return ballot-mailing envelope with a "Certificate of Eligibility" (certification) printed on the reverse side of the envelope.
- (2) After casting its vote in the election, an eligible voter must place the ballot in the security envelope. The security envelope is then to be placed in the ballot-mailing return envelope with the certification on the reverse side. To validate its ballot, the voter is required to complete, sign and date the certification.
- (3) In the event a ballot is submitted to the Department and the certification is not signed and dated in accordance with the instructions contained on the outside of the ballot-mailing return envelope or the ballot is returned in a different envelope without a certification, the Department shall process the ballot and the ballot-mailing return envelope as follows, if the Department is able to ascertain the identity of the eligible voter from the envelope:
- (a) The Department will not open the ballot-mailing return envelope, but will make a copy of the reverse side of the ballot-mailing return envelope with the printed certification. The original ballot-mailing return envelope will be held by the Department.
- (b) The Department will provide the eligible voter with a copy of the ballot-mailing return envelope with the certification and require the voter to sign the copy of the certification and mail it back to the Department so that it is received not later than the date specified in the correspondence accompanying the certification.
- (c) The Department shall advise the voter about the correct procedures for completing the unsigned certification and that, in order for the ballot to be counted, the